

THE CITY OF NEW YORK LAW DEPARTMENT

MICHAEL A. CARDOZO Corporation Counsel

100 CHURCH STREET NEW YORK, NY 10007 Diana M. Murray
Phone: (212) 788-1278
Fax: (212) 791-9714
E-mail:dmurray@law.nyc.gov

February 22, 2011

BY ECF

Honorable Sterling Johnson, Jr. United States District Court Eastern District 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, NY 11201

Re: <u>Duffy et al. v. International Union of Operating Engineers Local 14-14B et al. CV 10-3111</u>

Dear Judge Johnson:

I am an attorney in the office of Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, counsel for defendants Robert LiMandri and the New York Department of Buildings ("DOB") in the above-referenced action arising from DOB's initiation of proceedings to revoke plaintiffs' hoist machine operators licenses.

As counsel for plaintiffs previously advised the Court, plaintiffs' licenses have been revoked.

I write to advise the Court that, by order to show cause on February 4, 2011, plaintiffs commenced proceedings in state court challenging the revocation of their machine operators license pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law. See copies of the Orders to Show Cause in Gene Panessa v. Robert D. LiMandri, Index No. 101322/11, William Duffy v. Robert D. LiMandri, Index No. 101323/11, and James Mascarella v. Robert D. LiMandri, Index No. 101324/11, submitted herewith. Accordingly, now as when the instant case was

Case 1:10-cv-03111-SJ Document 56 Filed 02/22/11 Page 2 of 8

commenced, there are ongoing state proceedings directly related to the claims herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Diana M. Murray

Assistant Corporation Counsel

cc. (by ECF): Robert J. LaReddola, Esq. James M. Steinberg, Esq. George A. Stamboulidis, Esq. Case 1:10-cv-03111-SJ Document 56 Filed 02/22/11 Page 3018 At an IAS, Part 3. Room 2.7 of

002706		·_	the Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in and for the
	FEE PAID	PJ1 1554	County of New York, located at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York
PRESENT:	FEB 0 2 2011	BARBARA JAFFE	on the 4th day of . Tebrus 2011.
	NEW YORK NTY CLERK'S OFF	FICEX	Index No. 70/323 /2011
GENE PANES	· ·	ioner,	Index No. 70/313 /2011
	-against-	,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
	iMANDRI as the Co		[Article 78 Review of Revocation of Hoisting Machine Operator Class C License]
	Respo	ondent.	Oral Argument Requested

Upon the annexed Verified Petition, sworn to February 2, 2011 and exhibits thereto, and the Memorandum of Law in Support of Petition, dated February 2, 2011,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent, or counsel, shall appear before the Court, IAS Part (Room 190) at the Courthouse thereof, located at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on the 10 day of Manch 2011, at 9:30 a.m., and show cause why an Order and Judgment should not be made and entered in this matter pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules:

VACATING and setting aside Respondent's determination of January 5, 2011 revoking Petitioner's Hoisting Machine Operator Class C License;

DIRECTING Respondent to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the Office of Hearing and Administrative Trials and Hearings, by Administrative Law Judge Kevin F. Casey, in the matter of <u>Dep't of Buildings v. Panessa</u>, OATH Index No. 2759/10 (Dec. 17, 2010);

AWARDING costs and attorneys fees associated with this Petition, and

Case 1:10-cv-03111-SJ Document 56 Filed 02/22/11 Fage 4 of 8

GRANTING such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

The above entitled action is a CPLR Article 78 Petition in the nature of mandamus to review Respondent's determination to revoke Petitioner's Hoisting Machine Operator Class C License, despite the Report and Recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Kevin F. Casey in the matter <u>Dep't of Buildings v. Panessa</u>, OATH Index No. 2759/10 (Dec. 17, 2010), and

SUFFICIENT CAUSE THEREFORE BEING ALLEGED, let service of a copy of this Order to Show Cause together with the documents in support thereof pursuant to CPLR §2103(b)(1) [personal delivery]; CPLR § 2103(b)(2) [mailing]; CPLR §2103(b)(3) [office delivery]; or CPLR §2103(b)(6) [overnight delivery] upon Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, made on or before the 14 day of February, 2011, be deemed good and sufficient service on Respondent. Answer By February 22, 2011, (To De Service Meson Control of the Country Control o

ENTER

BARBARA JAFFE

FEB 0 4 2011

002707 001559 RIII	the Sup New Y County Centre		
PRESENT: J.S.C.	on the		
FEE PAID X			
WILLIAM DUFFY,			
FEBi@@r2011			
-against- NEW YORK			
-against- NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE	Article		
ROBERT D. LiMANDRI as the Commissioner of			
the New York City Department of Buildings,			
Respondent.	Oral Ar		

At an IAS, Part 52, Room 328, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of New York, located at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on the 4 day of January, 2011.

2011-003555

Index No. /0/322/2011

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

[Article 78 Review of Revocation of Hoisting Machine Operator Class A License]

Oral Argument Requested

Upon the annexed Verified Petition, sworn to February 2, 2011 and exhibits thereto, and the Memorandum of Law in Support of Petition, dated February 2, 2011,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent, or counsel, shall appear before the Court, IAS Part (Room) at the Courthouse thereof, located at 60 Centre Street, New York, New York, on the 4th day of February, 2011, at 9:30 a.m., and show cause why an Order and Judgment should not be made and entered in this matter pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules:

VACATING and setting aside Respondent's determination of December 29, 2010 revoking Petitioner's Hoisting Machine Operator Class A License;

DIRECTING Respondent to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the Office of Hearing and Administrative Trials and Hearings, by Administrative Law Judge Tynia D. Richard, in the matter of <u>Dep't of Buildings v. Duffy</u>, OATH Index No. 2758/10 (Dec. 16, 2010);

AWARDING costs and attorneys fees associated with this Petition, and GRANTING such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

The above entitled action is a CPLR Article 78 Petition in the nature of mandamus to review Respondent's determination to revoke Petitioner's Hoisting Machine Operator Class A License, despite the Report and Recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Tynia D. Richard, in the matter of <u>Dep't of Buildings v. Duffy</u>, OATH Index No. 2758/10 (Dec. 16, 2010), and

SUFFICIENT CAUSE THEREFORE BEING ALLEGED, let service of a copy of this Order to Show Cause together with the documents in support thereof pursuant to CPLR \$2103(b)(1) [personal delivery]; CPLR \$2103(b)(2) [mailing]; CPLR \$2103(b)(3) [office delivery]; or CPLR \$2103(b)(6) [overnight delivery] upon Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, made on or before the day of February, 2011, be deemed good and sufficient service on Respondent.

ENTER

CYNTHIA'S. KERN J.S.C. 002705 FEE PAID

001558 Thomas

FEB 0 2 2011

At an IAS, Part 46, Room 204, of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of New York, located at 6071
Thomas Centre Street, New York, New York, on the 4 day of January, 2011.

PRESENT: NEW YORK J.S.C.

JAMES MASCARELLA,

Petitioner.

Index No. /0/324/2011

-against-

ROBERT D. LiMANDRI as the Commissioner of the New York City Department of Buildings,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

[Article 78 Review of Revocation of Hoisting Machine Operator Class B Unlimited License]

Respondent.

Oral Argument Requested

Upon the annexed Verified Petition, sworn to February 2, 2011, and exhibits thereto, and the Memorandum of Law in Support of Petition, dated February 2, 2011,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent, or counsel, shall appear before the Court,

71 Thomas

IAS Part 46 (Room 204) at the Courthouse thereof, located at 60 Centre Street, New York,

Manua

New York, on the 23rd day of February, 2011, at 9:30 a.m., and show cause why an Order and

Judgment should not be made and entered in this matter pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil

Practice Law and Rules:

VACATING and setting aside Respondent's determination of January 5, 2011, revoking Petitioner's Hoisting Machine Operator Class A License;

DIRECTING Respondent to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the Office of Hearing and Administrative Trials and Hearings, by Administrative Law Judge Kevin F. Casey, in the matter of Dep't of Buildings v. Mascarella, OATH Index No. 2757/10 (Dec. 22, 2010);

AWARDING costs and attorneys fees associated with this Petition, and

GRANTING such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

The above entitled action is a CPLR Article 78 Petition in the nature of mandamus to review Respondent's determination to revoke Petitioner's Hoisting Machine Operator Class C License, despite the Report and Recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Kevin F. Casey in the matter Dep't of Buildings v. Mascarella, OATH Index No. 2757/10 (Dec. 22, 2010), and

SUFFICIENT CAUSE THEREFORE BEING ALLEGED, let service of a copy of this Order to Show Cause together with the documents in support thereof pursuant to CPER \$2103(b)(1) [personal delivery]; CPER \$2103(b)(2) [mailing]; CPER \$2103(b)(3) [office delivery]; or CPER \$2103(b)(6) [overnight delivery] upon Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, made on or before the 18 day of February, 2011, be deemed good and sufficient service on Respondent.

ENTER

Oral Argument

Directed (

JSC

Lang Brangs

LUCY EILLINGS